

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>MARY J. SCOTT</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>vs.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :</b>		<b>NO. 02-3799</b>
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WELFARE</b>	:	
<b>Defendant.</b>	:	

**O R D E R**

**AND NOW**, this 4<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2003, defendant having filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (Document No. 5, filed December 10, 2002), and plaintiff having submitted three documents which purportedly respond to the Motion to Dismiss, only one of which, a document with attachments dated February 5, 2003, has been docketed, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall docket the following documents submitted by *pro se* plaintiff:

1. Document dated July 24, 2003, with attachments which begins with the statement "I am requesting that the Commonwealth of PA. DPW Motion to Dismiss is denied, as a result of my original Complaint was never investigated by the Attorney General Dept. Which allowed the Commonwealth DPW, to continue with there fraudulents and wilful actions;" and,
2. Document entitled "Response to Defendant Motion to Dismiss Dated 12/10/02," received August 4, 2003, with attachments.

**BY THE COURT:**

---

**JAN E. DUBOIS, J.**